# U.S. Nuclear Policy and World Nuclear Situation

#### **Presentation by**

#### Hans M. Kristensen

(consultant, Natural Resources Defense Council) Phone: (202) 513-6249 / 289-6868 Website: http://www.nukestrat.com

To the Gensuikin Conference Tokyo, August 1, 2004

#### **Overview**

- World Nuclear Forces
- US Nuclear Policy
- US Nuclear Stockpile
- The NPR and the Future
- Conclusions

## World Nuclear Forces 2004

#### **Status 14 years after the Cold War:**

- Global stockpile is 44% of Cold War peak in 1986 (28580 versus 65000)
- Eight countries have nuclear weapons
- All continue to modernize their nuclear arsenals

# World Nuclear Forces 2004

	Strategic	Tactical	Total
<b>United States</b>	5474	680	6154
Russia	4400	3400	7800
France	348	n.a.	348
China	282	120	402
Israel	<200	n.a.	<200
United Kingdom	185	n.a.	185
Pakistan	30-50	n.a.	30-50
India	30-40	n.a.	30-40
Total	10979	4200	15179

Note: Only operational warheads are counted. The United States has an additional 4204 inactive warheads in reserve for a total stockpile of 10358 warheads. Russia has an additional 9200 non-operational warheads for a total stockpile of approximately 17000. Total world stockpile is estimated at some 28583 warheads. The warhead of Israel, India and Pakistan are thought to be only partly operational.

# **US Nuclear Policy**

#### What hasn't changed?

- 2001 NPR reaffirms importance of nuclear weapons
- Potential opponents continue to be Russia, China, North Korea, Iran, Syria and "n"
- Allied security assurances continue (NATO, Japan, South Korea)
- Massive retaliation and first strike options retained

# **US Nuclear Policy**

What has changed?

- Less focus on Russia
- Iraq and Libya are 'gone'
- China is 'rising star'
- Preemption is real (new plans)
- Capability-based vs. threat-based planning
- Arms control is dormant (for now)

# **US Nuclear Policy**

What has changed it?

- End of Cold War (cuts)
- Iraq and proliferation
- 1992 NUWEP
- 1994 NPR
- 1997 PDD-60
- 1999 NUWEP
- **2001 NPR**

#### How has it affected the posture?

- Reductions in operational warheads
- Consolidation of infrastructure and capabilities
- Modernization of remaining forces to maintain enduring arsenal and maximum targeting flexibility
- Reduction of targets in war plan but geographic distribution of targets has increased
- Warplanning overhauled: SIOP is dead (new beast is called OPLAN 8044) and replaced with the "Living SIOP" (a.k.a. adaptive planning)
- Strategic role for missile defense and advanced conventional forces increased

Strategic Forces
517 ICBM (500 MM3 / 17 MX)
15 SSBNs (14 from October)
115 bombers (72 PMI)

#### **Non-strategic Forces**

Fighter bombers (US and Europe)

Tomahawk (on selected SSNs)



#### **ICBMs**

- 500 Minuteman III
- 17 MX/Peacekeeper
- Life-extension programs
- De-MIRV stopped after one Wing
- Warhead mix (W87 moves to MM3)
- Minuteman Elite from 2011
- New ICBM in 2018



#### **SSBNs**

- 14 SSBNs (Bangor/Kings Bay)
   D5/W88 capability in Pacific from 2002
- All-D5 force from 2006
- Life-extension (through 2042)
- D5 Live Extension
- W76 upgrade to W76-1 (new fuze)
- RV "GPS-like accuracy" program



#### **Bombers**

- 21 B-2 and 94 B-52
- ALCM/ACM (W80-1)
- B61-7 / B61-11 (B-2 only) / B-83
- Adaptive planning upgrade
- W80-1 modernization (W80-3)
- B-2 RNEP carrier
- New bomber under development



General Dynamics F-16A "Fighting Palcon" USAF Museum Photo Arohives



#### **Fighter Bombers**

- F-15E / F-16C/D
- B61-3/4/10 (CONUS / NATO)
- Joint Strike Fighter nuclear



#### **Tomahawk TLAM/N**

- All stored on land (Bangor and Kings Bay)
- SSN use, periodic certification
- Of some 300 missiles, 100 active and 200 inactive
- Life-extension program
- W80-0 modification W80-2

#### What the 2001 NPR did:

- Reaffirmed importance of nuclear weapons in US nuclear policy
- Reaffirmed need for 'old' Triad
- Called for new nuclear capabilities
- Increases capability of nuclear weapons infrastructure
- Increases role of missile defense and advanced conventional forces



#### Where did NPR Force Level Come From?

- NPR/SORT: 1700-2200 "operationally deployed strategic warheads" by 2012
- SAC / STRATCOM force structure studies from 1991-1996
- NPR/SORT is START III but
  - minus de-MIRV of ICBMs
  - minus "overhaul" warheads
  - minus transparency / irreversibility
  - minus limits on non-strategic weapons

New nuclear capabilities pursued under the Advanced Concepts Initiative (ACI):

- Additional yield flexibility
- Improved earth penetrating weapons (EPWs)
- Warheads that reduce collateral damage
- ACI teams reestablished at LANL, LLNL, SNL, NNSA

Advanced Concept warheads currently being studied:

- Reliability replacement warhead concept (LANL)
- Robust Nuclear Earth Penetrator
- Air-launched cruise missile warhead
- Agent Defeat warhead

#### **Earth-penetrators and low-yield**

- Not the same thing
- Current EPW is B61-11 (400 kt)
- Potential options (RNEP) include:
  - B61 (variable yields 0.3-400 kt)
  - B83 (low to 1,200 kt)

#### **US Official Yield Definitions**

- very low: less than 1 kiloton
- Iow: 1 kiloton to 10 kilotons
- medium: over 10 kilotons to 50 kilotons
- high: over 50 kilotons to 500 kilotons
- very high: over 500 kilotons

#### **Current US Warhead Inventory** With "low-yield" capability:

Warhead	Yields	Number
B61-3	four yields: .3, 1.5, 60, 170 kt	396
B61-4	four yields: .3, 1.5, 10, 50 kt	412
B61-7	four yields up to 360 kt	441
B61-7	four yields: .3, 5, 10, 80 kt	208
W80-0	5 to 150 kt	304
W80-1	5 to 150 kt	1827
B80-0	low to 1200 kt	304
B80-1	low to 1200 kt	329
Total		4221

#### Stockpile Outlook 2004-2012

	2004	2012	Reduction
Strategic	8655	5112	3543
Non-strategic	1703	844	859
Total	10358	5956	4402

#### **Missile Defense**

- Complements vs. replaces nukes
- Can never be proven 100% effective
- Offensive-defensive integration will provide new offensive capabilities
- Undercuts effectiveness of Russian and Chinese deterrent forces
- Will trigger countermeasures

#### **Missile Defense: Lessons Learned**

- Soviet limited missile defense system triggered creation of massive US nuclear strike plan during Cold War
- Even limited system could upset effectiveness of other strike plans
- All facilities were nuclear targets (not just interceptors)
- Triggered US development of MIRV

#### Soviet Missile Defense System (1968)



Source: Hans M. Kristensen, et al., "The Protection Paradox," Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists, March/April 2004.

#### **Current US Targeting of Russian Missile Defense System (1989 simulation)**



Source: Hans M. Kristensen, et al., "The Protection Paradox," Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists, March/April 2004.

#### Conclusions

- NPR reaffirms large nuclear posture
- "Living SIOP" and adaptive planning will facilitate additional missions against old and new enemies
- Stockpile reduction is in reserve not deployed arsenal, compared with START III level
- Large opaque upload capacity will remain in reserve (about 100%)
- Missile defense will complement not replace nuclear forces and trigger countermeasures
- Conflict with NPT regime looming